

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/578,787	05/08/2006	Michael Neumann	2003P01684WOUS	8723		
46726 77590 0770120099 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			ALI, MOHAMMAD M			
100 BOSCH B NEW BERN, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3744			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/01/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) NEUMANN ET AL. 10/578,787 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		MOHAMMAD M. ALI	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL. LEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING D/ nations of time map he available under the provision of 37 CPR 11. SN(6) MONTHS from the mitting idea of the communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period used to the communication. The reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(p).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ap	oril 2009.						
		action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
7)23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bureau							
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachmer	at(s)							
_	on of References Cited (RTO 903)	4) D Intonious Summons	(DTO 412)					

Notice of Neterences Great (PTO-692)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

 Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ______. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: __

Art Unit: 3744

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Erickson (US 6,412,291). Erickson discloses a refrigeration device comprising a collection device (13) for condensed water and a vaporizer (Fogging nozzle 15) for said condensed water connected to the collection device (13); said vaporizer (15) operating to produce droplets of water by a non-evaporation step in which said droplets of water are formed directly from said condensed water without any intermediate steps of evaporating said condensed water into gas and thereafter condensing the gas to form droplets See Fig. 1, column 3, line 8 to column 4, line 15; lines 3-5 of abstract; colum 1, lines 41-54.

Regarding claim 10, Erickson discloses the limitations of claim 10.

Regarding claim 11, Erickson discloses that said vaporizer (15) disposed above a collecting tray (13/30, Fig 2)).

Regarding claim 13, Erickson discloses that said collecting tray(13/30) and said evaporator tray form a single unit.

Art Unit: 3744

Regarding claim 14, Erickson discloses that said vaporizer (15/27) having a vaporizer nozzle and a pump (14/32) for pressing said condensed water through said vaporizer nozzle.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erickson in view of L. A. Philipp hereinafter Philipp (US 2,315,222). Erickson discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except the evaporator tray heated by a compressor. Philipp teaches the use of an evaporator tray (30) heated by a compressor (92) in refrigeration/refrigerator system for the purpose of cooling the compressor (92). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the refrigeration device of Erickson

Art Unit: 3744

in view of Philipp such that an evaporator tray could be provided to be heated by a compressor in order to cool the compressor...

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erickson in view of Funk (4,477,166) and Parenti (2,222,823).

Erickson discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except solenoid displaceable pump. Funk teaches the use of a solenoid pump 25 in to pump water from a water source 26 to an evaporator 27 which heated and receives pump water in order to vaporize the water. However, Funk does not disclose details of the solenoid pump to disclose the solenoid displaceable in a coil of linearly driving a piston. See Fig.1, column 3, lines 54-68. Parenti teach the details of the missing portion as mentioned. Parenti disclose solenoid coil 62, a pump piston 20, spring 55, 44 which transpires that the piston 20 moves by the actuation of solenoid coil 65 and springs 55, 44. See Fig 1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pump of Erickson in view of Funk and Parenti such that a linearly displaceable solenoid operated pump could be provided in order to pump the condensate water.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erickson in view of Shiraishi (JP 2002-295968).

Erickson discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except a door operated pump. Shiraishi teaches the use of a door operated pump with a cylinder 23, a piston 24 filled with working fluid in a refrigerator. See Fig. in a refrigerator

Art Unit: 3744

for the purpose of using a pump during opening closing a door. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the condensate pump of Erickson in view of Shirashi such that a door operated pump could be provided so that it could be utilized to pump the condensate water. In other words the general concept of designing a door operated pump falls within the common knowledge as obvious mechanical expedient and this is illustrated by Shiraishi, which teaches the actuation of a refrigerant door operated pump with cylinder 23 which supports a piston 22 and a pipe filled with working fluid 24. The piston is actuated along the opening direction of the door by a pump which pressurizes the working fluid and this mechanism is to replace the pump or Navaro and Minari et al., by a door operated pump to pump the condensate water to the heat generated device.

Claims17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erickson in view of Masashi (2003-202179). Erickson discloses the invention substantially as claimed as stated above except a vaporizer formed by a high-frequency oscillator. Masashi teaches the use of a high-frequency oscillator (ultrasonic vibrator 11) a refrigeration device comprising a collection device 7 for condensed water and a vaporizer/ultrasonic oscillator (11) in a refrigeration system for the purpose or creating fog or droplets from condensed water See Figs 5-6 and the Para [005] of the enclosed translation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the refrigeration system of Erickson in

Art Unit: 3744

view of Masashi such that a high-frequency oscillator could be provided in order to oscillate and create fog or droplets out of condensed water.

Regarding claim 18, Masashi discloses that a float (sensor 13) for detecting collection quantity of the condensed water and control device for operating the vaporizer (11) when the collected quantity of condensed water detected exceeds a predetermined limiting value.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments see argument, filed 04/21/09, with respect to claims 10-18 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The final rejection of 09/05/08 has been withdrawn as mentioned above.

Applicant's arguments, see arguments, filed 04/21/09, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 10-18 under 103 rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn as mentioned above. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of new prior art as explained above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD M. ALI whose telephone number is (571)272-4806. The examiner can normally be reached on maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,787 Page 7

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mohammad M Ali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744